

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP**

m/c 11/4
RECEIVED
NOV 04 2008

A regular meeting of the Ramona Community Planning Group (RCPG) was held October 2, 2008, at 7 p.m., at the Ramona Community Center, 434 Aqua Lane, Ramona, San Diego County, DEPT. OF PLANNING & LAND USE

In Attendance:	Chris Anderson	Torry Brean	Matt Deskovick
	Carolyn Dorroh	Katherine L. Finley	Kathy S. Finley
	Dennis Grimes	Kristi Mansolf	Vivian Osborn (Arr. 7:20)
	Helene Radzik	Andrew Simmons	Luauna Stines
	Dennis Sprong	Angus Tobiason (Arr. 7:20)	

Absent: Chad Anderson

Helene Radzik, Chair of the RCPG, acted as Chair of the meeting. Kristi Mansolf, Secretary of the RCPG, acted as Secretary of the meeting.

ITEM 1: The Chair Called the Meeting to Order at 7:10 p.m.

ITEM 2: Pledge of Allegiance

ITEM 3: The Secretary Determined a Quorum was Present

ITEM 4 LIST OF ABSENTEES FOR THIS MEETING. Determination of Excused and Unexcused Absences by the RCPG – Secretary Will Read Record Separately from the Minutes – Chad Anderson was absent.

ITEM 5: Approval of Order of the Agenda (Action)

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA AS FOLLOWS: #10 FIRST; #11-C SECOND; #11-H THIRD; #9 FOURTH; #11-1 (GP UPDATE) FIFTH.

Upon motion made by Andrew Simmons and seconded by Dennis Grimes, the Motion passed 12-0-0-0-3, with Chad Anderson, Vivian Osborn, and Angus Tobiason absent.

ITEM 6: Roberts Rules of Order – Rules of Parliamentary Procedure to be Followed during Meeting. The Brown Act – General Information on What it is and How it Applies to the RCPG (Chair)

The Chair said Roberts Rules of Order govern how the RCPG meetings are conducted. The Brown Act defines how the public participates in the meeting.

ITEM 7: ANNOUNCEMENTS & Correspondence Received (Chair)

The Chair announced that she has been contacted by Target and WalMart about putting stores in Ramona. For Target, the project would be after the Acres, on the way out of town, along Hwy 67. WalMart is thinking of purchasing the 40 acres behind the High School. Next month (November) Target will be coming with a preliminary proposal. She stressed the importance of community character to the proponents.

The Chair announced that there was a minority opinion submitted on the Ramona Air Center last month. Within the letter, a reference was made to competitive bidding regarding the Ramona Air Center project. The Chair received information from the County on this issue. The airport project is not subject to a competitive bidding process. She asked the writer of the minority opinion to amend the minority opinion. This item is not actionable.

Mr. Simmons announced that the County won an appeal on regulating cell phone antennas. T&T will start looking at creating design standards for cell sites for Ramona now that the matter has been resolved.

ITEM 8: NON-AGENDA ITEMS Presentations from Public on Land Issues not on Current Agenda (No Presentations on Ongoing Projects – These Must be Agendized)

The Chair announced that people speaking on a project would get 3 minutes. It is not allowed for community members to trade time so someone can have more time. There will be a 30 second warning.

Speaker: Luauna Stines, Ramona Resident

Luauna Stines said a prayer.

ITEM 9: Presentation by the County on Low Impact Development Training State Water Quality Regulations and County Ordinance Require Development Projects Use these Techniques Stephanie Gaines, Presenter (Discussion and Possible Action) – As this item was moved down on the agenda, Stephanie Gaines left at 9:30 and said she would reschedule for December, 2008, or January, 2009.

ITEM 10: Steve Powell is asking the RCPG to Write a Letter of Support for a CDBG Grant. Funding would be for an Emergency Back Up Water and Power Supply Project that Will Benefit the Community/Senior Center, Meals on Wheels Program in Addition to the Community at Large. (Discussion and Possible Action)

Steve Powell is asking the RCPG for a letter of support for a CDBG grant for the Community Center. The Meals on Wheels program was shutdown during the 2007 fires. The power went off at the Community Center, and there was not a back up supply of water available. Mr. Powell has been discussing the grant with Brad Roberts and Mr. Masson at the Parks Department. He wants to apply for a grant for a back up generator and 10,000 gallon potable water supply. Meals on Wheels serve the backcountry. Should he and the group he is working with be awarded the grant, as per the Davis Bacon Act – the funds are federal funds – the project will be put out to bid. The deadline for the grant is 10-24. He is planning on attending the RMWD Board meeting on 10-14. An easement on the RMWD property will be necessary. The generator and water supply would be going on a parcel of land adjoining the parcel with the Community Center on it. Mr. Powell said that grading and a retaining wall will be part of the project.

Mr. Powell said the Community Center is in needs of repairs. He hopes to apply for future grants to help renovate the Community Center structure. He is trying to show the County that there is community support for the project. He is trying to involve as many groups as possible. Mr. Powell said he feels there is a sense of urgency for this project in the community.

Mr. Tobiason said the RMWD has a clean 3 million gallon tank that is used for irrigation. There are good wells at Wellfield Park. He would like to see the generator down by the wells. The generator can be used to fill 100 water trucks. All the wells are potable – they just need chlorine.

Mr. Powell said that the generator will not only serve the building, but other uses in the future. The water tank is proposed to be looped into the system. It will always be filling and recycling. The project will require some studies. Some aspects of the project are exempt.

MOTION: TO WRITE A LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION TO SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL.

Upon motion made by Luauna Stines and seconded by Kristi Mansolf, the Motion passed 14-0-0-0-1, with Chad Anderson absent.

ITEM 11: SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS

11-A: PARKS (Brean) (No Business)

11-A-1: Presentation of PLDO Report from Parks Dept. *Heard at the end of the meeting*

**11-B: GP Update Plan (formerly 2020 Community Plan)(Anderson) (Action Items)
*Taken out of Order after Item 11-H***

11-B-1: Ramona Community Plan Update -- Background Statement, Community Vision Statement, RMWD Systems Analysis Review; Goals, Policies & Implementation: Land Use, Circulation and Mobility, Conservation and Open Space, Safety, Noise, Specific Plans and Special Study Areas

11-B-2: Consideration and Recommendation on Draft Village Limit Line/Draft Rural Village Boundary (Carried Over from Special Meeting 6-19-08 and 7-3-08)

11-C: WEST/EAST (Mansolf) (Action Items) *Taken out of Order*

11-C-1: AD 08-019RPL, Private Horse Stable, 110 feet, 10-7/8 inches by 36 feet, 5- 3/4 inches, One-Story, at 15404 Highland Valley Rd. La Chapelle, Owner

Ms. Mansolf said the West Subcommittee had seen the project in the past and approved it, as did the RCPG. The scoping letter came out and the County was requiring a Major Use Permit because they thought the ranch could have a commercial use. Ms. La Chapelle was unable to attend the West Subcommittee meeting. She also was unable to attend the RCPG meeting. Ms. La Chapelle was required to change the name of the stable to "private stable". Other items are labeled differently, too. She filled out a fire form that was approved by the Fire Department and submitted a vector control plan. The project hasn't changed. The West Subcommittee approved the replacement map.

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE REPLACEMENT MAP.

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Luauna Stines, the Motion **passed 13-1-0-0-1**, with Matt Deskovick voting no, and Chad Anderson absent.

11-C-2:P08-012RPL, Verizon Cell Site on Raw Land, Sutherland Dr., Leonard, Owner. 40 Foot Mono Broadleaf Tree. Hill, Representative

Ms. Mansolf said that the applicant asked that this project be on the agenda in case the neighbor who came to the meeting when this project was last on the agenda, attended again. The applicant sent Ms. Mansolf a photo simulation of how the project will look from the neighbor's property. The neighbor was not at the meeting, and no action was taken.

11-C-3:AD08-048, Administrative Permit to Place an 8 Foot Fence Along 1/8th of the Property Line at 15316 Sky High Rd. Mounier, Owner

The Mounier's came to the West Subcommittee in the past regarding a neighbor's cell site. The Mounier's couldn't be attendance at the meeting. Ms. Mansolf read the justification for their request to put an 8 foot fence along approximately 210 feet of their property line. They want to keep the neighbor's dog off their property, don't want to have to look at their neighbor's property (there is a burned out trailer on it), and want privacy. There was a motion made at the West Subcommittee and it did not pass.

MOTION: TO CONTINUE UNTIL WHEN THE APPLICANT IS PRESENT.

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 12-2-0-0-1**, with Matt Deskovick and Dennis Sprong voting no, and Chad Anderson absent.

11-C-4:P87-028W1, Highland Valley Ranch, Modification of an Existing Major Use Permit to Expand an Adult Care Facility from 16 Residents to 52 Residents, and to Add 23,252 Sq Ft to the Facility. Stedt, Representative (w/T&T)

Ms. Mansolf gave the Subcommittee report. Thure Stedt presented the project. Currently a care facility for 16 traumatic brain injured patients is on site. The project proposes to increase the number of resident patients to 52. There are currently 13 employees. The project proposes to increase the number of employees to 25. The proposed access is off Highland Valley Rd. There will be 4 new buildings – 2 new residence homes, a gym and an office building. A package treatment plant is proposed to treat the effluent. It is essential for the care of the patients that their homes be residential in nature and in a residential area. This type of facility is Mr. O'Connor's specialty. The need has risen dramatically for these types of facilities. There are 3, 8-acre parcels that they are merging with the proposed Major Use Permit modification. The residential units will be residential in nature, single story and stucco. There is a wet weather storage pond that has the capacity to accumulate treated wastewater for an 84 day period should there be an excessive amount of rain. The wastewater will be treated to a tertiary level. The water will be used to water landscaping. The West Subcommittee report for this item was very lengthy. Issues identified are enumerated below in the public comment section as many of the same issues were raised at the RCPG meeting.

Mr. Stedt is the project representative. He asked that the RCPG not vote on the project until all of the information is in. He wanted more time to address Subcommittee concerns. The applicants will be coming back to the RCPG with the public review of the environmental document. Mr. Stedt brought Don Bunts, the wastewater treatment plant project manager, to answer questions on that portion of the project.

Mr. O'Connor is the CEO and founder of the Hidden Valley Ranch. He has been involved with the Ranch for many years. Hidden Valley Ranch is a long term residential treatment facility. Policemen, firefighters, teachers, military and young people who suffer brain injuries from accidents are the people who populate his facility. Twenty five years ago people did not survive bad accidents. Now there is a need for more of these facilities. There are 700 in America. Damaged brains can't be repaired. The residents have their long term memories, but their short term memory is impaired. They eat pureed food and wear diapers. The project location is a small campus setting on 25 acres. The houses will be 25,000 square feet. The gym will look like a barn. There will be no change when looking toward the front of the facility. They use internal monitoring and fencing. The facility is very regulated. They have received awards from Cal OSHA. Locally licensing facilities say the facility is the best of its kind. There was a story brought up at the West Subcommittee meeting where helicopters were brought to the facility. Mr. O'Connor said there haven't been helicopters since he has been there – not in 15 years. His neighbors want him to do his work elsewhere. This is an extraordinary time as we are receiving and waiting for military to come home that need medical care. There are less than 150 beds for this type of treatment in the State.

Seth Torma is a traffic engineer, and he did the traffic study for the project entry. An analysis was done. It was recommended that the driveway be relocated 150 feet north to provide adequate site distance so there wouldn't need to be a deceleration lane. They are anticipating 10 trips in the morning and 10 trips in the afternoon, both at peak times. This is a conservative estimate. There will be about 100 trips throughout the day, including visitors.

Ms. Osborn believes that the project impact would require an EIR.

Mr. Torma said an EIR is not required for the traffic. There are no direct impacts for traffic.

Ms. Osborn said that we are being asked not to vote until we see the environmental document, which will be a mitigated negative declaration.

The issue of the package treatment plant was raised.

The Chair said that the Ramona Community Plan discourages package treatment plants.

Mr. Stedt said that the project expansion will accommodate 52 residents. Currently there are 16. They will be going from having 13 staff on site to 25. It is important for the brain injured to be in a residential setting. Previously they were left in a closet to die. There will be no access/easement to the road to the north of the project. At the south end, there is a 60 foot easement. The Transportation/Trails Subcommittee wants to move the gate further to the west. The expansion will enhance the grove. The wastewater treatment process will help the grove.

Mr. Bunts spoke on the package treatment plant. The water will be treated to protect the groundwater. The treatment facility will be underground – so the noise will be underground. Only the backup power generator will be above ground. The water will be treated to Title 2 standards. The grounds and the grove will watered.

Mr. Brean asked about not being able to use septic for the project?

Mr. Bunts said the groundwater is high during wet weather. The ground can absorb the water, but standards won't be met. The 84 day storage pond is a regional requirement. When it is raining or wet, they are not allowed to irrigate.

Ms. Dorroh asked how often the solids are removed?

Mr. Bunts said solids will be hauled off every 2 weeks.

Ms. Osborn asked about the byproducts of the treated water?

Mr. Bunts said there will be some TDS and additional nitrogen comes out. There will be no long term degradation to groundwater. The treatment plant is sized for the 52 residents and staff.

Ms. Osborn asked who will maintain the package treatment plant?

Mr. Bunts said a contractor will be hired who specializes in that type of work. The facility will be monitored by the State. Samples will be taken and tested.

Ms. Dorroh asked if water seepage will make the ground soggy?

Mr. Bunts said that best management practices will be followed throughout construction. There won't be runoff and the ground won't be soggy. They are not allowed to have those types of impacts.

There was a question about whether or not after the facility was expanded, the residents living at the facility across the street, also owned by Mr. O'Connor, would continue to walk across the road to go to the larger facility. The response was that they would.

Public Comment

Ramona resident, Mr. Frank Keane, spoke in support of the project. He said the facility has the support, faith and trust of many agencies outside of the area. 30,000 GI's have traumatic brain injury. Someone needs to take care of these guys.

Eight speakers spoke against the project, as follows: Ralph Kling, Sandee Salvatore, Larry Reeves, Johnny Romine, Sally Kloman, Lisa LeFors, Leslie Souza, and George Boggs. Angel Rosson and Scot Sargeant registered opposition but did not wish to speak. Letters were received from several neighbors. Comments are summarized here according to area of concern.

Security Issues

- There was a request that each building for sleeping quarters be staffed with a supervisor during the night.
- There are concerns with security now and in the future. Residents of the house sometimes leave the facility and end up on the neighbors' properties. These encounters are frightening for both the residents and the patients. Residents are docile 85 percent of the time. Sometimes they scream profanities in this quiet, residential area. How will the expansion further impact security in the neighborhood? If 16 patients can't be managed, what will happen with 52 patients?
- A helicopter has flown around the area looking for a patient. While this isn't the norm, it has happened.

- While the clientele is increasing 3 times, staff is only doubling

Community Character Issues

- There is going to be a 300 percent increase in clientele. What comes after this? First there were 6 people in a residential care facility, then there was a new owner and the Major Use Permit applied for. The original owner, when he received the Major Use Permit, said he didn't intend to expand. Mr. O'Connor is saying he won't expand again, but the next owner may want to. This is a rural, agricultural area. The proposed project is a business, a commercial enterprise expansion proposed in a rural, residential, agricultural area.
- The number of people and buildings there now is appropriate for the area. None of the neighbors can subdivide the land in the area. They will stay as dense as they are now. But the project is being proposed to expand 4 times to include more density and more development. Each 8 acre parcel could support one home. The applicant is asking for the right to add too much density and development for this area. All of the neighbors knew what was there when they moved in and now the applicant is requesting to expand the project. In 10 years will the applicant ask to be expand to 100 residents?
- The gymnasium is large. There are concerns the gymnasium will be visible from Highland Valley Rd.
- There are concerns the gymnasium and the facility will have lights on at night. Lights on at night at the facility are already somewhat invasive.

Wastewater Treatment Plant

- The Community requests knowing what type of entity will maintain the package treatment plant, who will be the governing entity the applicant has to comply with for the package treatment plant, and what standards will be adhered to.
- The Ramona Community Plan discourages package treatment plants.
- There are concerns that the wastewater holding area will be a nuisance.

Transportation Issues

- There are concerns with site distance by moving the access to the north of where it currently is. Southbound traffic, especially motorcycles, accelerate going up the hill, so they are going fast when they reach the proposed access point. The existing access allows greater site distance visibility than the proposed access as a result of the excess speed used by southbound motorists.

Drainage Issues

- There are concerns that the amount of proposed surface area will exacerbate already heavy drainage flows during storms onto neighboring properties and across the road. Some neighbors have ponds and are concerned with how their ponds will be impacted.
- The RCPG requests to see the summary of the amount of runoff predicted and the capacity of the improvements such as the retention/detention basins.

Groundwater

- There are concerns that residents' medications will remain in the wastewater after treatment and leach into the neighbors' groundwater.
- There are concerns that the wastewater will have a high salt content after treatment that will leach into the neighbors' groundwater from the watering of the landscape with the treated water.

Concerns with Maintenance of Existing Landscaping

- The trees and the grove on site have been allowed to dry up. There is an old dump under the existing grove. Landscaping is not being maintained.
- Palm fronds fall from the site onto adjoining properties and are not disposed of. The palm fronds were burning and blowing around in the Witch Creek fire.

Major Use Permit Findings.

- The project is very large for the area in terms of bulk, scale, harmony, density and intensity of use and does not make the Major Use Permit findings as per Section 7358 of the Zoning Ordinance. An EIR would be more appropriate for the project environmental document than a mitigated negative declaration
- Although there is recognized need for this type of project, it was felt the expansion wasn't suitable for the area in which it is being proposed.

Ms. Anderson needed more information before she could make a decision.

Ms. Stines also needed more information.

Mr. Grimes said that he can appreciate that there is a need for this type of facility. The RCPG is here to represent the community. We make decisions to protect the community. He feels there is enough information to make a decision. He has concerns with the bulk, scale and density of the project.

Mr. Brean is also concerned with the scope of the project.

Mr. Deskovick said he can't vote for the project due to the package treatment plan.

Ms. Osborn said the Major Use Permit findings cannot be made.

The Chair asked that the RCPG take into consideration the previous RCPG vote on not encouraging package treatment plants in the community.

MOTION: TO DENY THE EXPANSION DUE TO THE INCOMPATIBILITY OF THE PROJECT (EXPANSION) IN THE AREA.

Upon motion made by Carolyn Dorroh and seconded by Kristi Mansolf, the Motion **passed 9-3-1-1-1**, with Chris Anderson, Matt Deskovick, and Kathy S. Finley voting no, Luauna Stines abstaining, Angus Tobiason stepping down, and Chad Anderson absent.

MOTION: TO SEND COMMENTS.

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Kristi Mansolf, the Motion **passed 14-0-0-0-1**, with Chad Anderson absent.

**11-C-5: TM 5554, GPA 08-006, MUP P08-032, P71-396-01, PAA 08-006, ER
Log No. 08-09-007, Notice of Preparation, EIR, for Ramona Air Center
Development Plan Project, Ramona Airport (w/T&T)**

Mr. Tobiason said that people have tried to get Montecito Rd. to go through to Rangeland Rd. Now they are going to block off the road with this project. He believes a road should be there. It would provide an alternative to congestion on the roads. He suggested making a loop above the Davis Ranch. One-half of the community could use the road in a disaster. Our community needs access here.

Dennis Sprong asked if by blocking off Montecito Rd., could this give us a chance to push through a road somewhere else?

The Chair said she can contact Pete Drinkwater and inquire. At the Steering Committee meeting they will be discussing road related issues.

Mr. Higgins said there has been discussion to find an exit for the northwest corner of Ramona in an emergency at the County.

Ms. Dorroh said all other developers are required to have a secondary access. Why is this not a project requirement?

Ms. Mansolf said the following comments were presented at the West Subcommittee: the private parcel for the project was not included in the EIR that included the extension of the runway and expansion of facilities already located at the airport. The EIR that was approved (created in 2002) was for the County Airport land only. It was stated in the EIR for the sewer upgrade (2002) that the extension of the sewer would not be growth inducing as it is for the County Airport only and would not serve any other development. This extension of the sewer to the private parcel needs to be addressed in the Project EIR. Additionally, the parcel was not included in the sewer service area when the sewer was added to the Airport. Should this be the case, the addition of the private parcel into the sewer service area should be included in the NOP and addressed in the EIR.

**MOTION: TO INCLUDE COMMENTS FOR THE WEST SUBCOMMITTEE IN
PROJECT EIR. TO REQUEST FOR A SECONDARY ACCESS TO BE CONSIDERED.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Vivian Osborn, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

11-D: SOUTH (Stines) (No Business)

11-E: AHOPE (Osborn) (No Business)

11-F: CUDA (Simmons) (Action Items)

**11-F-1: Sunrise Villas Apartments, S06-009, Request by Applicant to Waive the
Undergrounding of Utilities (Policy I-92) Bruce Steingraber, Consultant
(w/T&T)**

Mr. Simmons gave the Subcommittee report. There was a motion to request the County to underground the utilities in front of the Sunrise Villa apartments as per the original project design, and the motion passed. Mr. Simmons said the applicant did not do meandering sidewalks or undergrounding of utilities as promised to the Design Review Board and the RCPG.

Ms. Anderson said she doesn't believe the colors of the buildings were what was originally proposed on the applicant's plans.

MOTION: TO REQUEST THE COUNTY TO REQUIRE UNDERGROUNDING OF UTILITIES AS PER ORIGINAL PROJECT DESIGN. ADDITIONALLY, COLORS DO NOT APPEAR TO BE WHAT WAS PROPOSED ON APPLICANT'S PLANS.

Upon motion made by Andrew Simmons and seconded by Chris Anderson, the Motion passed 14-0-0-0-1, with Chad Anderson absent.

11-B: GP Update Plan (formerly 2020 Community Plan)(Anderson) (Action Items)
Taken out of Order

11-B-1: Ramona Community Plan Update -- Background Statement, Community Vision Statement, RMWD Systems Analysis Review; Goals, Policies & Implementation: Land Use, Circulation and Mobility, Conservation and Open Space, Safety, Noise, Specific Plans and Special Study Areas

Ms. Anderson said the GP Update Subcommittee has met and engaged other members and groups of the community in updating the Community Plan. Doug Wilsman was asked to help update the sections on Water, Wastewater, Fire Protection and Sewage for the RMWD. The Superintendent has been asked to update the section on Schools. The Library has been consulted.

Mr. Wilsman was present and said that sewer capacity falls short 1,631 EDU's with current projected future capacity. Mr. Wilsman said, regarding Montecito Ranch, they are considering putting a fire station out there with a charge to the people to staff. There is the issue of access. The County feels Montecito Ranch has 2 accesses. The Fire Marshall was asking for 1 more access, as he felt there was only 1 access. He has retracted this request after talking to the County.

Ms. Anderson presented the sections from the RMWD first, then other sections of the Community Plan that were reviewed.

MOTION: APPROVE THE WATER DISTRICT REVISIONS TO GP UPDATE REGARDING WATER, SEWER AND FIRE PROTECTION AS PRESENTED BY DOUG WILSMAN.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Torry Brean, the Motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'HISTORY' (a) AS SUBMITTED FOR GP UPDATE.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Torry Brean, the Motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'RELATIONSHIPS TO ADJOINING COMMUNITIES' RELATIVE TO ADDING THE COMMUNITY OF ESCONDIDO (b).

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Katherine L. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING' (c) AS PRESENTED.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Torry Brean, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'EXISTING LAND USES AND COMMUNITY CHARACTER' (D) AS SUBMITTED.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Torry Brean, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'RESIDENTIAL LAND USE' (2-1) AS PRESENTED.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'COMMERCIAL LAND USE' (2.2) AS SUBMITTED.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'INDUSTRIAL LAND USE' (2.3) AS SUBMITTED.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'HOUSING' (3).

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE FOR TRANSPORTATION/TRAILS SUBCOMMITTEE TO LOOK AT CIRCULATION.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'SCENIC ROUTES' (5) AS PRESENTED.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: THAT RUSD SUPERINTENDENT MODIFY AT A LATER DATE AND BRING TO GP UPDATE SUBCOMMITTEE (SCHOOLS – UNDER 7).

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'LIBRARY' (UNDER 7) WITH SPELLING OUT OF "SDCL."

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kristi Mansolf, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: MANSOLF WILL ASK SHERIFF'S DEPT. TO UPDATE POLICE SECTION; MANSOLF WILL CHECK ON UPDATING FIRE SECTION (BOTH UNDER 7). BRING BACK TO SUBCOMMITTEE.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: MS. ANDERSON WILL MEET WITH DISCLOSURE SOURCE REGARDING SEISMIC (UNDER 7) AND COME BACK.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: THAT ALL CONSERVATION (8) BE REVIEWED BY AHOPE SUBCOMMITTEE.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: FOR PARKS TO REVIEW RECREATION (10) AND BRING BACK RECOMMENDATIONS.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Luauna Stines, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: FOR TRAILS TO BE REVIEWED BY TRANSPORTATION/TRAILS AND PARKS.

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

MOTION: TO APPROVE 'COMMUNITY VISION STATEMENT.'

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

**11-B-2: Consideration and Recommendation on Draft Village Limit
Line/Draft Rural Village Boundary (Carried Over from Special Meeting 6-19-08 and 7-3-08)**

Ms. Anderson said she would find out from DPLU if the deadline had passed for the RCPG to make a recommendation on the Draft Village Limit Line.

**11-F-2: AD08-047, Administrative Permit to Place on Property a Shedrow Barn with 6 Horse Stalls of 2450 Sq Ft, at 1021 Elm. Detlor, Owner
*Taken out of order***

Mr. Simmons gave the Subcommittee report. The applicant was not present at the meeting, but the Subcommittee was supportive of the project and made a motion to approve it. Mr. Simmons brought the motion forward.

MOTION: TO APPROVE.

Upon motion made by Andrew Simmons and seconded by Chris Anderson, the Motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

11-G: TRANSPORTATION/TRAILS (Simmons) (Action Items)

11-G-1: Sunrise Villas Apartments, S06-009, Request by Applicant to Waive the Undergrounding of Utilities (Policy I-92) Bruce Steingraber, Consultant (w/CUDA)

11-G-2: P87-028W1, Highland Valley Ranch, Modification of an Existing Major Use Permit to Expand an Adult Care Facility from 16 Residents to 52 Residents, and to Add 23,252 Sq Ft to the Facility. Stedt, Representative (w/West)

11-G-3: TM 5554, GPA 08-006, MUP P08-032, P71-396-01, PAA 08-006, ER Log No. 08-09-007, Notice of Preparation, EIR, for Ramona Air Center Development Plan Project, Ramona Airport (w/West)

11-H: DESIGN REVIEW (Anderson) – Update on Projects Reviewed by the Design Review Board

Ms. Anderson gave the Design Review Board report.

11-I: TOWN CENTER COMMITTEE (Brean/Simmons) Update on Town Center Committee Meetings – Report to RCPG

Mr. Simmons reported that there was discussion of putting treated water into the Creek to have it flowing. Mr. Wilsman was against this idea. Santee has done something similar.

11-A: PARKS (Brean) (No Business)

11-A-1: Presentation of PLDO Report from Parks Dept. *Taken out of order*

Mr. Brean said that he is still trying to get the original ROCC proposal. The Parks Dept. sent a report on funds available. There is \$615,000 in PLDO funds available for Ramona.

ITEM 12: Other Business

- A. Consideration of a Consent Agenda (Discussion and Possible Action)
-- Not Addressed**
- B. Discussion and Possible Action on Establishing a Protocol for Dealing with Projects when Project Applicants Don't Attend Meetings where their Projects Are on the Agenda – Not Addressed**

ITEM 13: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (Chair)

A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES 9-4-08 (Action)

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF 9-4-08.

The Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with no objections, and with Chad Anderson and Matt Deskovick absent.

- B. Concerns From Members** – None brought forward.
- C. Names Submitted for New Subcommittee Members (Action)** – None
- D. Agenda Requests** – None brought forward.

ITEM 14: Adjournment – 11 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf